

## HW 1: Solution

Instructor: Dimitrios Katselis

TAs: Leda Sari, Amish Goel

### Problem 1

For this problem,

$$\Omega = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT\},$$

and  $A = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT\}$ ,  $B = \{HHH, HHT, THH, THT\}$ ,  $C = \{HHT, THH\}$ . Clearly,

$$P(A) = P(B) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$P(C) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Furthermore,

$$P(AB) = P(\{HHH, HHT\}) = \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(B),$$

$$P(AC) = P(\{HHT\}) = \frac{1}{8} = P(A)P(C),$$

$$P(BC) = P(\{HHT, THH\}) = \frac{1}{4} \neq P(B)P(C).$$

Hence,  $A, B, C$  are not independent.

### Problem 2

By the event axioms

- (a)  $A \cap B = (A^c \cup B^c)^c$ , therefore  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b)  $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$  and by the previous part,  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (c)  $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) \in \mathcal{F}$  by the previous part.

### Problem 3

The proof is by induction. For  $n = 2$ , the principle holds due to  $P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 A_2)$ . Suppose that the result holds for any  $n \leq r$ . For  $n = r + 1$ , we have that  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} A_i\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i\right) + P(A_{r+1}) - P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i\right) \cap A_{r+1}\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i\right) + P(A_{r+1}) - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r (A_i \cap A_{r+1})\right)$  and the result follows easily by invoking the induction hypothesis.

**Problem 4**

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^k A_n\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n^c\right)^c\right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n^c\right)\right] \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - \sum_{n=1}^k P(A_n^c)\right] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 5**

Let  $Z = X + Y$ . By relying on the independence of  $X, Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z = n) &= P(X + Y = n) = \sum_{j=0}^n p_{XY}(X = j, Y = n - j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n p_X(X = j)p_Y(Y = n - j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^j}{j!} e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} \lambda_1^j \lambda_2^{n-j} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{n!} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Z \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

**Problem 6**

1. By solving  $1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-x} cxy dy dx$  for  $c$ , we obtain  $c = 24$ .
2.  $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dy = \int_0^{1-x} 24xy dy = 12x(1-x)^2$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and 0 otherwise.
3.  $P(X + Y < 1/2) = \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2-x} 24xy dy dx = \frac{1}{16}$ .
4. The support of  $f_{XY}$  is not a product set, hence  $X, Y$  are not independent.

**Problem 7**

For  $k \leq n$ ,

$$E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k X_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^k E\left[\frac{X_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}\right] = kE\left[\frac{X_1}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}\right],$$

where in the last step we employ the observation that

$$\frac{X_1}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}, \frac{X_2}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}, \dots, \frac{X_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j}$$

are identically distributed random variables due to the i.i.d. assumption on  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and therefore, they have the same mean value. Moreover, for  $k = n$ ,

$$1 = E \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j} \right] = nE \left[ \frac{X_1}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j} \right].$$

Hence,

$$E \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k X_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n X_j} \right] = \frac{k}{n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

### Problem 8

By relying on the total law of probability we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y > X) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(Y > X | X = j) p_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(Y > j | X = j) p_j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(Y > j) p_j \quad (\text{independence of } X, Y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^j p_j \quad (\text{tail property of } \text{Geo}(p)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j p_j = G(z). \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 9

It is easy to see that

$$a^r \mathbb{1}(|Y| \geq a) + (b^r - a^r) \mathbb{1}(|Y| \geq b) \leq |Y|^r.$$

By taking the expectations to both sides the result follows.

### Problem 10

1. The order statistics  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$  will take the values  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$  if and only if there exists a permutation  $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $X_1 = x_{\pi(1)}, X_2 = x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, X_n = x_{\pi(n)}$ . For any permutation  $\pi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \left( x_{\pi(1)} - \frac{\Delta x}{2} < X_1 < x_{\pi(1)} + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)} - \frac{\Delta x}{2} < X_n < x_{\pi(n)} + \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right) \\ \approx (\Delta x)^n f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) = (\Delta x)^n \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P \left( x_1 - \frac{\Delta x}{2} < X_{(1)} < x_1 + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, \dots, x_n - \frac{\Delta x}{2} < X_{(n)} < x_n + \frac{\Delta x}{2} \right) \\ \approx n! (\Delta x)^n \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $(\Delta x)^n$  and letting  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$  yields the result.

2. The probability to be computed can be equivalently expressed in terms of the order statistics  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq X_{(3)}$  of the point positions as  $P(X_{(3)} \geq X_{(2)} + d, X_{(2)} \geq X_{(1)} + d)$ . By employing part (a)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{(3)} \geq X_{(2)} + d, X_{(2)} \geq X_{(1)} + d) &= \int_0^{1-2d} \int_{x_1+d}^{1-d} \int_{x_2+d}^1 3! dx_3 dx_2 dx_1 \\ &= (1-2d)^3. \end{aligned}$$